Organization TC3700 RANDOLPH BIOR/ROOM OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Ir Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Ornicial Business


AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



60/82/90 00 ALTENDICA TO SENDER PITEMENTED TO TORVERD UNABLE TO FORWARD 100 **BHXHX**

*1589-02000-28-48 % BC: 22313145050

PARTIE CHORNES ON COLOR



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/551,663	CHENG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Banafsheh Hadizonooz	3715
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 10/551,663

Art Unit: 3715

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braunberger et al. (US 2003/0077559).

Regarding claims 1-6, Braumnberger discloses an adaptive learning system for presenting appropriate topic and question to the user, said system comprising:

A processor configured to generate and store in a database a set of hierarchical topics (e.g. math) having a plurality of questions associated with each topic, each of the questions having an assigned difficulty level value (See [0012], [0068], figures 1 and 6 and [0028]); determining an adjustable state level for a user based on said user's topic performance consistency; said level having a range of predetermined value (See [0024]-[0025], [0036] and figure 7); assigning a difficulty level ranging between 1-100 (e.g. difficulty between 7-2)(See figure 7); determining a relevant topic for said user from said hierarchical topics by evaluating for relevance (See [0028]-[0030] and randomly selecting a relevant question and evaluate the student's performance and the topic's relevance(See [0031]-[0036]). Braunberger does not specifically disclose showing an adjustable water level as a visual mean for demonstrating user's performance on a specific topic or assigning a threshold range from 0-5. However, the applicant has not

Application/Control Number: 10/551,663

Art Unit: 3715

disclosed if such features solve any stated problem or provide any advantage. Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the invention to work equally well with any other performance demonstration mean. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Braunberger's invention to incorporate the performance demonstration method as described by the applicant because such modification is considered to be a matter of design choice.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Banafsheh Hadizonooz whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,663

Art Unit: 3715

.

вн

/XUAN M. THAI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3715 Page 4

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Application/Control No. Reexamination 10/551,663 CHENG ET AL. Notice of References Cited Art Unit Examiner Page 1 of 1 Banafsheh Hadizonooz 3715 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** Document Number Date Name Classification MM-YYYY Country Code-Number-Kind Code US-2003/0077559 04-2003 Braunberger et al. 434/322 US-В US-С US-D US-Ε US-F US-G US-Н US-1 US-J US-K US-US-М **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS** Document Number Date Country Name Classification Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY Ν 0 Р Q R s Т **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS** Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) U W Х

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.